

# Near Term Deployment of Nuclear Plants in the United States

# ANS Winter Meeting Reno, Nevada

**Lou Long** 

**November 13, 2001** 

#### **Presentation Overview**

- Strategic Context for Near Term Deployment of New Nuclear Energy Plants
- Mission and Process
- Generic Gaps and Issues
- ✓ Conclusions
- Recommendations
  - Phased Approach: Market-Driven, Dual Track
  - Economic Competitiveness
  - Nuclear Industry Infrastructure
  - National Nuclear Energy Strategy

Reno ANS Presentation RS044-00

13-November-2001

Page

## Strategic Context for Near Term Deployment

- ✓ Increasing awareness of need for new generating capacity
- Fossil fuel price volatility, clean air constraints
- Excellent existing nuclear plant performance
- Improving economics of new nuclear power plants
- Industry consolidation = companies large enough to undertake large capital projects
- Significant public (and political) support
- Greater certainty in the licensing process
- New National Energy Policy

#### **Mission and Process**

- Mission Identify the technical, institutional and regulatory gaps to the near term deployment of new nuclear plants and recommend actions that should be taken by DOE.
- Participants multi-disciplined nuclear industry group

  - Reactor Vendors Westinghouse, General Electric, General Atomics
  - National Laboratories ANL, INEEL
  - Academia Penn State
  - ✓ Industry EPRI/ Consultants
  - *✓* NERAC
- Oversight by NERAC GRNS members

## Mission and Process (continued)

#### Request for Information (RFI)

- Public notice through Commerce Business Daily (CBD)
- ✓ Solicits identification of design-specific, site-related and generic barriers to deployment of new nuclear plants by 2010
- Responses received from 12 organizations

#### NTD Roadmap

## Mission and Process (continued)

#### RFI requested information in two areas:

- Specific Deployment Candidate Designs that meet six criteria
  - Credible plan for gaining regulatory acceptance
  - Existence of industrial infrastructure
  - Credible plan for commercialization
  - Cost-sharing between industry and government
  - Demonstration of economic competitiveness
  - Reliance on existing fuel cycle structure
- Generic & Design Specific Gaps
  - Known gaps provided requiring ranking and possible solutions

# Mission and Process (continued)

#### Design Specific Responses

#### NTD Recognition of other potential candidate designs

*✓* CANDU

EPR - Framatome

Reno ANS Presentation RS044-00 13-November-2001

## **Generic Gaps/Issues**

- ✓ Nine generic issues identified that could influence the viability and timing of any new nuclear plant project:
  - Nuclear plant economic competitiveness
  - Business implications of the deregulated electricity marketplace
  - Efficient implementation of 10CFR52 (standardized licensing process)
  - Adequacy of nuclear industry infrastructure
  - National Nuclear Energy Strategy
  - Nuclear safety
  - Spent fuel management
  - Public acceptance of nuclear energy
  - Non-proliferation of nuclear material
- First five are considered "gaps" to near term deployment for which specific recommendations are made

# Summary Discussion of Gaps

## Nuclear Plant Economic Competitiveness

- New nuclear plants must be economically competitive in deregulated marketplace: the most significant challenge
- Nuclear plants have significant long term financial advantage (low production costs). Issue for deployment: is nuclear's advantage enough to offset high capital costs?
- Challenges/Opportunities of the De-regulated Electricity Marketplace:
  - Fundamentally different business environment
    - Risk for new projects squarely on investors
  - Long lead times for nuclear plants make it difficult to respond to short term electricity market needs

# Summary Discussion of Gaps (continued)

### **✓** Efficient Implementation of 10CFR52

- Regulatory process is source of business uncertainty
- Improved Part 52 process: Early Site Permit (ESP), Design Certification (DC), and Combined License (COL)
- Part 52 provides more opportunity for public input earlier in process; greater certainty that projects conforming to approvals will operate.
- ESP & COL never exercised, posing risk to plant investors

## Nuclear Industry Infrastructure

Nuclear construction hiatus has led to aging workforce; atrophied manufacturing/construction infrastructure

## ✓ National Nuclear Energy Strategy

Current government backing for building new nuclear plants not adequate for near term deployment

#### Conclusions

- New nuclear plants can be deployed in the U.S. in this decade -- with sufficient, timely private sector investment
- ✓ To have new plants operating by 2010, O/Os must commit to orders by ~2003. Requires very near term action
- Economic competitiveness is key area of uncertainty
- Efficient implementation of Part 52 is most urgent
- Excellent candidates available. Certified designs ready; other candidates show promise for improved economics
- Achieving near term deployment will require close collaboration between industry and government.

## Conclusions (continued)

- ✓ Selections of new projects must be market driven and primarily supported by private sector investment, but government support is essential, in the form of:
  - Leadership and effective policy
  - Efficient regulatory approvals
  - Cost sharing of generic and one-time costs
- Industry-Government collaboration essential to success
  - Will provide needed resource leveraging
  - Will greatly enhance investor confidence
  - Better standardization of designs and processes

#### **Recommendations** — an Overview

- A "Phased Plan of Action" with three phases:
  - Regulatory Approvals
  - Design Completion
  - Construction and Startup
- "Dual Track" implementation for both ALWR & Gas-cooled
- Market-driven initiatives, with DOE cost-sharing of regulatory-related generic & 1st-time design-specific costs
  - ✓ DOE \$ only for initiatives that obtain ≥50% private sector funding
  - ESP and COL demonstrations
  - Design Certifications (ALWRs) and COLs w/o DC (gas reactors)
  - First Time Engineering Completion
- Development of National Nuclear Energy Strategy to Reno ANS Presentation RS044-00 Complement new National Energy Policy

#### Recommendations: A Phased Plan of Action

## **Phase 1: Regulatory Approvals**

- Develop generic guidance for ESP, COL, ITAAC
- ✓ Industry and DOE cost share (market-driven initiatives):
  - ESP and COL applications to demonstrate processes
  - Complete DC (FDAs for gas reactors) for selected designs
  - Risk-informed, performance-based regulatory framework being developed (may be applied as elements become available)

## 

- Complete detailed engineering for at least one design in each track (ALWR, gas-cooled) to allow deployment by 2010
  - Industry and DOE cost share (market-driven initiatives)

## Phase 3: Construction and Startup

Reno AN Privately funded but supported by appropriate government incentives

# Recommendations: Economic Competitiveness

- Focus on minimizing "time to market"
  - Efficient regulatory approvals by applicants and NRC
  - Expedite projects via parallel regulatory approvals & design completion; early procurement of long-lead components
- Establish government incentives for business risk reduction:
  - Encourage long term power purchase agreements
  - Accelerated depreciation
  - Tax credits for new investments
  - Tax incentives for fuel supply diversity and emission-free generation
  - Access to tax-exempt state government financing
  - Reno ANS Presentation RS044-00

    Ensure energy/environmental policies & regs. are balanced

# Recommendations: Nuclear Industry Infrastructure

- Expand/accelerate programs focused on most urgent personnel areas: construction, engineering, operations, health physics

  - DOE/Industry Matching Grant Program
  - ANS Task Force on Nuclear Workforce
  - NERAC Recommendations on Human Infrastructure
- Industry & government cooperate on study of fabrication, manufacturing and construction infrastructure, both domestic and international

Reno ANS Presentation RS044-00 13-November-2001 Page

# Recommendations: Develop National Nuclear Energy Strategy

- Build on support for nuclear in National Energy Policy

- Expedite regulatory approvals consistent with safety regulations
- Commit to market-driven, public private partnerships
- Seek broad support from Congress

#### A CALL TO ACTION

- Industry and Government must act together to enable new nuclear plant construction
  - Technology options are safe, reliable, with competitive economic potential
  - National security requires greater energy independence
  - Environmental quality requires emission-free generation
- ✓ Urgent need for aggressive, focused actions in 2002:
  - Industry leadership & innovation (e.g., form consortia)
  - Regulatory foundation: NEI has key role, working w/NRC
  - Major increase in FY 03 DOE budget for NTD
  - Major increase in industry-DOE cooperation (e.g., C.A.)
- Building new plants in the U.S. is single most important step toward re-vitalizing Nuclear Energy R&D